

Plan Quality / Survey Application Statements

7/14/2007

There were an adequate number of typicals to construct this project.
Sufficient detail was shown on the typical cross sections.
The typical sheets were organized.
The typical sheets were easy to follow.
Variable dimensions not shown in the typicals were addressed elsewhere.
The topog shown in the plans is complete.
The topog shown in the plans is accurate.
Stream meanders are shown where necessary.
The information in the 2H sheets is complete.
The information in the 2H sheets is accurate.
The existing culverts were surveyed correctly.
All of the utilities are shown where necessary.
The staking data was provided in a timely manner.
The super-elevation transitions were accurately reflected in the staking data.
There was enough information provided with the plans to stake the project.
Geometries & grades were clear.
Geometries & grades were accurate.
Super-elevation information was provided.
Coordinates for the alignment data were provided.
Grades matched the vertical alignment.
Islands and turn lane geometries were provided.
Proposed geometrics and grades tied into existing features where necessary.
Erosion Control quantities match the build notes.
Erosion control items are shown in appropriate locations.
Erosion control plans were clear.
Seeding comps were reasonable.
Slope protection comps were reasonable.
Temporary erosion control quantities were appropriate
The existing flow lines matched those shown in the plans.
The drainage design included all necessary items.
The culvert cross sections were complete.
The culvert quantities were accurate.
The location of the inlets where appropriate.
The elevation of the inlets was appropriate.
The pipe policy was applied correctly.
The information shown on the cross section sheets was clear.
The cross sections accurately depicted what was constructed.
The existing ground lines in the cross sections accurately depicted the actual ground.
There was adequate information shown in the cross sections.

The location of the cross sections was appropriate.
The earthwork quantities were within acceptable tolerance.
Cross sections depicted phased construction.
The bridge special plans were consistent & coordinated with the roadway plans.
The drainage special plans were consistent & coordinated with the roadway plans.
The traffic special plans were consistent & coordinated with the roadway plans.
The guardrail special plans were consistent & coordinated with the roadway plans.
The lighting plans were consistent & coordinated with the roadway plans.
The erosion control special plans were consistent & coordinated with the roadway plans.
The utility conflicts were addressed.
Design horizontal and vertical alignments were reflected accurately on the bridge plans.
The special provisions were clear.
The special provisions complete.
The plans and/or special provisions addressed phasing concerns.
Items associated with phasing were accurate.
Quantities associated with phasing were accurate.
The constructability of the project was presented in an understandable manner.
Traffic was adequately maintained throughout the construction of the project.
Drainage was accommodated during phased construction.
Contract quantities, comps, and build notes all correspond with each other.
The ROW and easements were adequate to construct the project.
Funding splits were computed accurately.
The notes conveyed the proper information.
It was easy to read and understand the intent of the design, plans, and specifications.
All applicable special plans were included in the plan package.